

19. Classes

Overloading Functions and Operators, Encapsulation, Classes, Member Functions, Constructors

Overloading Functions

- Functions can be addressed by name in a scope
- It is even possible to declare and to defined several functions with the same name
- the “correct” version is chosen according to the *signature* of the function.

Function Overloading

- A function is defined by name, types, number and order of arguments

```
double sq (double x) { ... } // f1
int sq (int x) { ... } // f2
int pow (int b, int e) { ... } // f3
int pow (int e) { return pow (2,e); } // f4
```

- the compiler automatically chooses the function that fits “best” for a function call (we do not go into details)

```
std::cout << sq (3); // compiler chooses f2
std::cout << sq (1.414); // compiler chooses f1
std::cout << pow (2); // compiler chooses f4
std::cout << pow (3,3); // compiler chooses f3
```

Operator Overloading

- Operators are special functions and can be overloaded
- Name of the operator *op*:

`operatorop`

- we already know that, for example, `operator+` exists for different types

Adding rational Numbers – Before

```
// POST: return value is the sum of a and b
rational add (rational a, rational b)
{
    rational result;
    result.n = a.n * b.d + a.d * b.n;
    result.d = a.d * b.d;
    return result;
}
...
const rational t = add (r, s);
```

Adding rational Numbers – After

```
// POST: return value is the sum of a and b
rational operator+ (rational a, rational b)
{
    rational result;
    result.n = a.n * b.d + a.d * b.n;
    result.d = a.d * b.d;
    return result;
}
...
const rational t = r + s;
```

↑
infix notation

Other Binary Operators for Rational Numbers

```
// POST: return value is difference of a and b  
rational operator- (rational a, rational b);
```

```
// POST: return value is the product of a and b  
rational operator* (rational a, rational b);
```

```
// POST: return value is the quotient of a and b  
// PRE: b != 0  
rational operator/ (rational a, rational b);
```

Unary Minus

has the same symbol as the binary minus but only one argument:

```
// POST: return value is -a
rational operator- (rational a)
{
    a.n = -a.n;
    return a;
}
```


Comparison Operators

are not built in for structs, but can be defined

```
// POST: returns true iff a == b
bool operator==(rational a, rational b)
{
    return a.n * b.d == a.d * b.n;
}
```

$$\frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{6} \quad \checkmark$$

Arithmetic Assignment

We want to write

```
rational r;  
r.n = 1; r.d = 2;           // 1/2
```

```
rational s;  
s.n = 1; s.d = 3;         // 1/3
```

```
r += s;  
std::cout << r.n << "/" << r.d; // 5/6
```

Operator+= First Trial

```
rational operator+= (rational a, rational b)
{
    a.n = a.n * b.d + a.d * b.n;
    a.d *= b.d;
    return a;
}
```

does not work. Why?

- The expression `r += s` has the desired value, but because the arguments are R-values (call by value!) it does not have the desired effect of modifying `r`.
- The result of `r += s` is, against the convention of C++ no L-value.

Operator +=

```
rational& operator+= (rational& a, rational b)
{
    a.n = a.n * b.d + a.d * b.n;
    a.d *= b.d;
    return a;
}
```

this works

- The L-value **a** is increased by the value of **b** and returned as L-value

`r += s;` now has the desired effect.

In/Output Operators

can also be overloaded.

■ Before:

```
std::cout << "Sum is " << t.n << "/" << t.d << "\n";
```

■ After (desired):

```
std::cout << "Sum is " << t << "\n";
```

In/Output Operators

can be overloaded as well:

```
// POST: r has been written to out
std::ostream& operator<< (std::ostream& out, rational r)
{
    return out << r.n << "/" << r.d;
}
```

writes **r** to the output stream
and returns the stream as L-value.

Input

```
// PRE: in starts with a rational number of the form "n/d"  
// POST: r has been read from in  
std::istream& operator>> (std::istream& in, rational& r){  
    char c; // separating character '/'  
    return in >> r.n >> c >> r.d;  
}
```

reads **r** from the input stream
and returns the stream as L-value.

Goal Attained!

```
// input
std::cout << "Rational number r =? ";
rational r;
std::cin >> r;

std::cout << "Rational number s =? ";
rational s;
std::cin >> s;

// computation and output
std::cout << "Sum is " << r + s << ".\n";
```

operator >>

operator +

operator <<

A new Type with Functionality...

```
struct rational {
    int n;
    int d; // INV: d != 0
};

// POST: return value is the sum of a and b
rational operator+ (rational a, rational b)
{
    rational result;
    result.n = a.n * b.d + a.d * b.n;
    result.d = a.d * b.d;
    return result;
}
...
```

...should be in a Library!

rational.h

- Definition of a struct `rational`
- Function declarations

rational.cpp

- arithmetic operators (`operator+`, `operator+=`, ...)
- relational operators (`operator==`, `operator>`, ...)
- in/output (`operator >>`, `operator <<`, ...)

Thought Experiment

The three core missions of ETH:

- research
- education
- technology transfer

We found a startup: RAT PACK®!

- Selling the `rational` library to customers
- ongoing development according to customer's demands

The Customer is Happy

...and programs busily using `rational`.

- output as double-value ($\frac{3}{5} \rightarrow 0.6$)

```
// POST: double approximation of r
double to_double (rational r)
{
    double result = r.n;
    return result / r.d;
}
```

The Customer Wants More

“Can we have rational numbers with an extended value range?”

■ Sure, no problem, e.g.:

```
struct rational {  
    int n;  
    int d;  
};
```

⇒

```
struct rational {  
    unsigned int n;  
    unsigned int d;  
    bool is_positive;  
};
```

New Version of RAT PACK®



It sucks, nothing works any more!

- What is the problem?



$-\frac{3}{5}$ is sometimes 0.6, this cannot be true!

- That is your fault. Your conversion to `double` is the problem, our library is correct.



Up to now it worked, therefore the new version is to blame!



Liability Discussion

```
// POST: double approximation of r
double to_double (rational r){
    double result = r.n;
    return result / r.d;
}
```

r.is_positive and result.is_positive do not appear.

correct using...

```
struct rational {
    int n;
    int d;
};
```

...not correct using

```
struct rational {
    unsigned int n;
    unsigned int d;
    bool is_positive;
};
```

We are to Blame!!

- Customer sees and uses our **representation** of rational numbers (initially `r.n`, `r.d`)
- When we change it (`r.n`, `r.d`, `r.is_positive`), the customer's programs do not work anymore.
- No customer is willing to adapt the programs when the version of the library changes.

⇒ RAT PACK[®] is history...

Idea of Encapsulation (Information Hiding)

- A type is uniquely defined by its *value range* and its *functionality*
- The *representation* should *not be visible*.
- ⇒ The customer is not provided with *representation* but with **functionality!**



```
str.length(),  
v.push_back(1),...
```

Classes

- provide the concept for **encapsulation** in C++
- are a variant of structs
- are provided in many *object oriented programming languages*

Encapsulation: `public` / `private`

```
class rational {  
    int n;  
    int d; // INV: d != 0  
};
```

is used instead of `struct` if anything at all shall be “hidden”

only difference

- `struct`: by default **nothing** is hidden
- `class` : by default **everything** is hidden

Encapsulation: public / private

```
class rational {  
    int n;  
    int d; // INV: d != 0  
};
```

Good news: `r.d = 0` cannot happen any more by accident.

Bad news: the customer cannot do anything any more ...

Application Code

```
rational r;  
r.n = 1; // error: n is private  
r.d = 2; // error: d is private  
int i = r.n; // error: n is private
```

...and we can't, either.
(no operator+,...)

Member Functions: Declaration

```
class rational {  
public:  
    // POST: return value is the numerator of this instance  
    int numerator () const {  
        return n;  
    }  
    // POST: return value is the denominator of this instance  
    int denominator () const {  
        return d;  
    }  
private:  
    int n;  
    int d; // INV: d!= 0  
};
```

public area

member function

member functions have access to private data

the scope of members in a class is the whole class, independent of the declaration order

Member Functions: Call

```
// Definition des Typs
class rational {
    ...
};
...
// Variable des Typs
rational r;
int n = r.numerator(); // Zaehler
int d = r.denominator(); // Nenner
```

member access

Member Functions: Definition

```
// POST: returns numerator of this instance
int numerator () const
{
    return n;
}
```



- A member function is called **for** an expression of the class. in the function, **this** is the name of this *implicit argument*. **this** itself is a pointer to it.
- *const* refers to the instance **this**, i.e., it promises that the value associated with the implicit argument cannot be changed
- **n** is the shortcut in the member function for **this->n** (precise explanation of “->” next week)

const and Member Functions

```
class rational {  
public:  
    int numerator () const  
    { return n; }  
    void set_numerator (int N)  
    { n = N;}  
    ...  
}
```

```
rational x;  
x.set_numerator(10); // ok;  
const rational y = x;  
int n = y.numerator(); // ok;  
y.set_numerator(10); // error;
```

The **const** at a member function is to promise that an instance cannot be changed via this function.

const items can only call **const** member functions.

Comparison

Roughly like this it were ...

```
class rational {
    int n;
    ...
public:
    int numerator () const
    {
        return this->n;
    }
};

rational r;
...
std::cout << r.numerator();
```

... without member functions

```
struct bruch {
    int n;
    ...
};

int numerator (const bruch& dieser)
{
    return dieser.n;
}

bruch r;
..
std::cout << numerator(r);
```

Member-Definition: In-Class vs. Out-of-Class

```
class rational {  
    int n;  
    ...  
public:  
    int numerator () const  
    {  
        return n;  
    }  
    ....  
};
```

- No separation between declaration and definition (bad for libraries)

```
class rational {  
    int n;  
    ...  
public:  
    int numerator () const;  
    ...  
};  
  
int rational::numerator () const  
{  
    return n;  
}
```

- This also works.

Constructors

- are special member functions of a class that are named like the class
- can be overloaded like functions, i.e. can occur multiple times with varying *signature*
- are called like a function when a variable is declared. The compiler chooses the “closest” matching function.
- if there is no matching constructor, the compiler emits an *error message*.

Initialisation? Constructors!

```
class rational
{
public:
    rational (int num, int den)
        : n (num), d (den) ← Initialization of the
                              member variables
    {
        assert (den != 0); ← function body.
    }
    ...
};
...
rational r (2,3); // r = 2/3
```

Constructors: Call

- directly

```
rational r (1,2); \small // initialisiert r mit 1/2
```

- indirectly (copy)

```
rational r = rational (1,2);
```

Initialisation “rational = int”?

```
class rational
{
public:
    rational (int num)
        : n (num), d (1)
    {} ← empty function body
    ...
};
...
rational r (2); // explicit initialization with 2
rational s = 2; // implicit conversion
```

The Default Constructor

```
class rational
{
public:
    ...
    rational () ← empty list of arguments
        : n (0), d (1)
    {}
    ...
};
...
rational r; // r = 0
```

⇒ There are no uninitialized variables of type rational any more!

Alternatively: Deleting a Default Constructor

```
class rational
{
public:
    ...
    rational () = delete;
    ...
};
...
rational r; // error: use of deleted function 'rational::rational()'
```

⇒ There are no uninitialized variables of type rational any more!

User Defined Conversions

are defined via constructors with exactly *one* argument

```
rational (int num) ← User defined conversion from int to
    : n (num), d (1) rational. values of type int can now be
    {} converted to rational.
```

```
rational r = 2; // implizite Konversion
```

The Default Constructor

- is automatically called for declarations of the form `rational r;`
- is the unique constructor with empty argument list (if existing)
- must exist, if `rational r;` is meant to compile
- if in a struct there are no constructors at all, the default constructor is automatically generated

RAT PACK[®] Reloaded ...

Customer's program now looks like this:

```
// POST: double approximation of r
double to_double (const rational r)
{
    double result = r.numerator();
    return result / r.denominator();
}
```

- We can adapt the member functions together with the representation ✓

RAT PACK[®] Reloaded ...

before

```
class rational {  
    ...  
private:  
    int n;  
    int d;  
};
```

```
int numerator () const  
{  
    return n;  
}
```

after

```
class rational {  
    ...  
private:  
    unsigned int n;  
    unsigned int d;  
    bool is_positive;  
};
```

```
int numerator () const{  
    if (is_positive)  
        return n;  
    else {  
        int result = n;  
        return -result;  
    }  
}
```

RAT PACK[®] Reloaded ?

```
class rational {  
    ...  
private:  
    unsigned int n;  
    unsigned int d;  
    bool is_positive;  
};
```

```
int numerator () const  
{  
    if (is_positive)  
        return n;  
    else {  
        int result = n;  
        return -result;  
    }  
}
```

- value range of nominator and denominator like before
- possible overflow in addition

Encapsulation still Incomplete

Customer's point of view (`rational.h`):

```
class rational {
public:
    // POST: returns numerator of *this
    int numerator () const;
    ...
private:
    // none of my business
};
```

- We determined denominator and nominator type to be `int`
- Solution: encapsulate not only data but alsoe `types`.

Fix: “our” type `rational::integer`

Customer’s point of view (`rational.h`):

```
public:  
    using integer = long int; // might change  
    // POST: returns numerator of *this  
    integer numerator () const;
```

- We provide an additional type!
- Determine only **Functionality**, e.g:
 - implicit conversion `int` \rightarrow `rational::integer`
 - function `double to_double (rational::integer)`

RAT PACK[®] Revolutions

Finally, a customer program that remains stable

```
// POST: double approximation of r
double to_double (const rational r)
{
    rational::integer n = r.numerator();
    rational::integer d = r.denominator();
    return to_double (n) / to_double (d);
}
```


Separate Declaration and Definition

```
class rational {  
public:  
    rational (int num, int denum);  
    using integer = long int;  
    integer numerator () const;  
    ...  
private:  
    ...  
};
```

rational.h

```
rational::rational (int num, int den):  
    n (num), d (den) {}  
rational::integer rational::numerator () const  
{  
    return n;  
}
```

rational.cpp

↑
class name

::

←
member name