# 4. Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen

Algorithms and Data Structures, Overview [Cormen et al, Kap. 1; Ottman/Widmayer, Kap. 1.1]

### Algorithm

Algorithm

Well-defined procedure to compute **output** data from **input** data

### Example Problem: Sorting

**Input**: A sequence of *n* numbers (comparable objects)  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ **Output**: Permutation  $(a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n)$  of the sequence  $(a_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ , such that  $a'_1 \le a'_2 \le \cdots \le a'_n$ 

Possible input

(1, 7, 3), (15, 13, 12, -0.5),  $(999, 998, 997, 996, \dots, 2, 1)$ , (1), ()...

Every example represents a **problem instance** 

The performance (speed) of an algorithm usually depends on the problem instance. Often there are "good" and "bad" instances.

Therefore we consider algorithms sometimes **"in the average"** and most often in the **"worst case"**.

### Examples for algorithmic problems

- Tables and statistis: sorting, selection and searching
- routing: shortest path algorithm, heap data structure
- DNA matching: Dynamic Programming
- evaluation order: Topological Sorting
- autocomletion and spell-checking: Dictionaries / Trees
- Fast Lookup : Hash-Tables
- The travelling Salesman: Dynamic Programming, Minimum Spanning Tree, Simulated Annealing

#### Characteristics

Extremely large number of potential solutionsPractical applicability

#### Data Structures

- A data structure is a particular way of organizing data in a computer so that they can be used efficiently (in the algorithms operating on them).
- Programs = algorithms + data structures.



# Efficiency

- If computers were infinitely fast and had an infinite amount of memory ...
- ... then we would still need the theory of algorithms (only) for statements about correctness (and termination).

Reality: resources are bounded and not free:

- $\blacksquare$  Computing time  $\rightarrow$  Efficiency
- Storage space  $\rightarrow$  Efficiency

#### Actually, this course is nearly only about efficiency.

### Hard problems.

- NP-complete problems: no known efficient solution (the existence of such a solution is very improbable – but it has not yet been proven that there is none!)
- Example: travelling salesman problem

This course is *mostly* about problems that can be solved efficiently (in polynomial time).

# 5. Efficiency of algorithms

Efficiency of Algorithms, Random Access Machine Model, Function Growth, Asymptotics [Cormen et al, Kap. 2.2,3,4.2-4.4 | Ottman/Widmayer, Kap. 1.1]

# Efficiency of Algorithms

Goals

- Quantify the runtime behavior of an algorithm independent of the machine.
- Compare efficiency of algorithms.
- Understand dependece on the input size.

#### **Programs and Algorithms**



## Technology Model

#### Random Access Machine (RAM) Model

- Execution model: instructions are executed one after the other (on one processor core).
- Memory model: constant access time (big array)
- Fundamental operations: computations (+,-,·,...) comparisons, assignment / copy on machine words (registers), flow control (jumps)
- Unit cost model: fundamental operations provide a cost of 1.
- Data types: fundamental types like size-limited integer or floating point number.

#### For Dynamic Data Strcutures

Pointer Machine Model

- Objects bounded in size can be dynamically allocated in constant time
- Fields (with word-size) of the objects can be accessed in constant time 1.



### Asymptotic behavior

An exact running time of an algorithm can normally not be predicted even for small input data.

- We consider the asymptotic behavior of the algorithm.
- And ignore all constant factors.

An operation with cost 20 is no worse than one with cost 1 Linear growth with gradient 5 is as good as linear growth with gradient 1.

# Algorithms, Programs and Execution Time

Program: concrete implementation of an algorithm.

Execution time of the program: measurable value on a concrete machine. Can be bounded from above and below.

#### Example 1

3GHz computer. Maximal number of operations per cycle (e.g. 8).  $\Rightarrow$  lower bound.

A single operations does never take longer than a day  $\Rightarrow$  upper bound.

From the perspective of the *asymptotic behavior* of the program, the bounds are unimportant.

### 5.2 Function growth

 $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\Theta$ ,  $\Omega$  [Cormen et al, Kap. 3; Ottman/Widmayer, Kap. 1.1]

## Superficially

Use the asymptotic notation to specify the execution time of algorithms. We write  $\Theta(n^2)$  and mean that the algorithm behaves for large n like  $n^2$ : when the problem size is doubled, the execution time multiplies by four.

#### More precise: asymptotic upper bound

provided: a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Definition:<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{O}(g) = \{ f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} | \\ \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \\ \forall n \ge n_0 : 0 \le f(n) \le c \cdot g(n) \}$$

Notation:

$$\mathcal{O}(g(n)) := \mathcal{O}(g(\cdot)) = \mathcal{O}(g).$$

<sup>1</sup>Ausgesprochen: Set of all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy: there is some (real valued) c > 0 and some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \le f(n) \le n \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

# Graphic



#### Converse: asymptotic lower bound

Given: a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Definition:

$$\Omega(g) = \{ f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} | \\ \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \\ \forall n \ge n_0 : 0 \le c \cdot g(n) \le f(n) \}$$

## Example



### Asymptotic tight bound

Given: function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Definition:

$$\Theta(g) := \Omega(g) \cap \mathcal{O}(g).$$

Simple, closed form: exercise.

### Example



# Notions of Growth

$\mathcal{O}(1)$	bounded	array access
$\mathcal{O}(\log \log n)$	double logarithmic	interpolated binary sorted sort
$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$	logarithmic	binary sorted search
$\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$	like the square root	naive prime number test
$\mathcal{O}(n)$	linear	unsorted naive search
$\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$	superlinear / loglinear	good sorting algorithms
$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	quadratic	simple sort algorithms
$\mathcal{O}(n^c)$	polynomial	matrix multiply
$\mathcal{O}(2^n)$	exponential	Travelling Salesman Dynamic Programming
$\mathcal{O}(n!)$	factorial	Travelling Salesman naively

# $\mathsf{Small}\; n$



#### ${\sf Larger}\;n$



"Large" n



# Logarithms



# Time Consumption

Assumption 1 Operation =  $1\mu s$ .

problem size	1	100	10000	$10^{6}$	$10^{9}$
$\log_2 n$	$1 \mu s$	$7 \mu s$	$13 \mu s$	$20 \mu s$	$30 \mu s$
n	$1 \mu s$	$100 \mu s$	1/100s	1s	17 minutes
$n\log_2 n$	$1 \mu s$	$700 \mu s$	$13/100 \mu s$	20s	8.5 hours
$n^2$	$1 \mu s$	1/100s	1.7 minutes	11.5  days	317 centuries
$2^n$	$1 \mu s$	$10^{14} { m  centuries}$	$pprox \infty$	$pprox \infty$	$pprox\infty$

#### Useful Tool

#### Theorem 2

Let  $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be two functions, then it holds that 1.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0 \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}(g), \ \mathcal{O}(f) \subsetneq \mathcal{O}(g).$ 2.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = C > 0 \ (C \ \text{constant}) \Rightarrow f \in \Theta(g).$ 3.  $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \infty \Rightarrow g \in \mathcal{O}(f), \ \mathcal{O}(g) \subsetneq \mathcal{O}(f).$ 

#### About the Notation

Common casual notation

$$f = \mathcal{O}(g)$$

should be read as  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ . Clearly it holds that

$$f_1 = \mathcal{O}(g), f_2 = \mathcal{O}(g) \not\Rightarrow f_1 = f_2!$$

 $n = \mathcal{O}(n^2), n^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$  but naturally  $n \neq n^2$ .

#### We avoid this notation where it could lead to ambiguities.

#### Reminder: Java Collections / Maps



#### ArrayList VerSUS LinkedList

run time measurements for 10000 operations (on [code] expert)

ArrayList	LinkedList
$469 \mu \mathrm{s}$	$1787 \mu { m s}$
$37900 \mu { m s}$	$761 \mu { m s}$
$1840 \mu s$	$2050 \mu { m s}$
$426 \mu { m s}$	$110600 \mu \mathrm{s}$
$31 \mathrm{ms}$	$301 \mathrm{ms}$
$38\mathrm{ms}$	$141 \mathrm{ms}$
$228 \mathrm{ms}$	$1080 \mathrm{ms}$
$648 \mu s$	$757 \mu \mathrm{s}$
$58075 \mu s$	$609 \mu s$

#### **Reminder: Decision**



# Asymptotic Runtimes (Java)

With our new language  $(\Omega, \mathcal{O}, \Theta)$ , we can now state the behavior of the data structures and their algorithms more precisely Asymptotic running times (Anticipation!)

	-	-			
Data structure	Random	Insert	Next	Insert	Search
	Access			After	
				Element	
ArrayList	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1) A$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
LinkedList	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(n)$
TreeSet	-	$\Theta(\log n)$	$\Theta(\log n)$	_	$\Theta(\log n)$
HashSet	-	$\Theta(1) P$	_	_	$\Theta(1) P$

A = amortized, P=expected, otherwise worst case

# Asymptotic Runtimes (Python)

#### Asymptotic running times

Data structure	Random	Insert	Iteration	Insert	Search
	Access			After	x in S
				Element	
list	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)A$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(n)$
set	-	$\Theta(1) P$	$\Theta(n)$	_	$\Theta(1) P$
dict	-	$\Theta(1) P$	$\Theta(n)$	—	$\Theta(1) P$

A = amortized, P=expected, otherwise worst case