Informatik II

Übung 11

FS 2019

1

Program Today

1 Last Week: BFS with Lazy Deletion

2 Adjacency List in Java, continued

- 3 Repetition of Lecture
 - Dijkstra's Algorithm
 - Bellman-Ford Algorithm
- 4 In-Class-Exercise (theoretical)

5 In-Class-Exercise (practical)

BFS with Lazy Deletion

}

```
public void BFS2(int s) {
       boolean visited[] = new boolean[V];
       LinkedList<Integer> queue = new LinkedList<Integer>();
       gueue.add(s);
       while (!queue.isEmpty()) {
              int u = queue.poll();
              if (!visited[u]) {
                      visited[u] = true;
                      System.out.print(u + " ");
                      for (int v : adj.get(u))
                             queue.add(v);
              }
```

BFS with Lazy Deletion

```
public void BFS2(int s) {
       boolean visited[] = new boolean[V];
       LinkedList<Integer> queue = new LinkedList<Integer>();
       gueue.add(s);
       while (!queue.isEmpty()) {
               int u = queue.poll();
               if (!visited[u]) {
                      visited[u] = true;
                      System.out.print(u + " ");
                      for (int v : adj.get(u))
                              queue.add(v); 🔨
               }
                                              A node is pushed on
       }
                                               Queue once for each in-
                                               coming edge.
```

BFS with Lazy Deletion

```
public void BFS2(int s) {
       boolean visited[] = new boolean[V];
       LinkedList<Integer> queue = new LinkedList<Integer>();
       gueue.add(s);
                                          Node marked as visited,
       while (!queue.isEmpty()) {
                                          but its copies are not
               int u = queue.poll();
                                          immediately removed from Queue.
               if (!visited[u]) {
                                         ("Lazv Deletion")
                       visited[u] = true:
                       System.out.print(u + " ");
                       for (int v : adj.get(u))
                              queue.add(v); 🔨
               }
                                               A node is pushed on
       }
                                                Queue once for each in-
                                               coming edge.
```

Adjacency List Unweighted Graph

```
class Graph { // G = (V,E) as adjacency list
       private int V; // number of vertices
       private ArrayList<LinkedList<Integer>> adj; // adj. list
       // Constructor
       public Graph(int n) {
              V = n:
              adj = new ArrayList<LinkedList<Integer>>(V);
              for (int i=0; i<V: ++i)</pre>
                      adj.add(i,new LinkedList<Integer>());
       }
       // Edge adder method
       public void addEdge(int u, int v) {
              adj.get(u).add(v);
       }
```

Adjacency List weighted Graph

```
class Graph { // G = (V,E) as adjacency list
       private int V; // number of vertices
       private ArrayList<LinkedList<Pair>> adj; // adj. list
       // Constructor
       public Graph(int n) {
              V = n:
              adj = new ArrayList<LinkedList<Pair>>(V);
              for (int i=0; i<V; ++i)</pre>
                      adj.add(i,new LinkedList<Pair>());
       }
       // Edge adder method, (u,v) has weight w
       public void addEdge(int u, int v, int w) {
              adj.get(u).add(new Pair(v,w));
       }
```

Adjacency List weighted Graph

```
public class Pair implements Comparable<Pair> {
       public int key;
       public int value:
       // Constructor
       public Pair(int key, int value) {
              this.key = key;
              this.value = value:
       3
       @Override // we need this later...
       public int compareTo(Pair other) {
              return this.value-other.value;
       }
       // for general usage of pairs we would also need
       // to provide equals(), hashCode(), ...
```

3. Repetition of Lecture

Weighted Graphs

Given: $G = (V, E, c), c : E \to \mathbb{R}, s, t \in V.$ *Wanted:* Length (weight) of a shortest path from *s* to *t*. *Path:* $p = \langle s = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = t \rangle$, $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ $(0 \le i < k)$ *Weight:* $c(p) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c((v_i, v_{i+1})).$



Weight of a shortest path from u to v:

$$\delta(u,v) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{no path from } u \text{ to } v \\ \min\{c(p) : u \xrightarrow{p} v\} & \text{sonst} \end{cases}$$

Ingredients of an Algorithm

Wanted: shortest paths from a starting node *s*.

Weight of the shortest path found so far

$$d_s: V \to \mathbb{R}$$

At the beginning:
$$d_s[v] = \infty$$
 for all $v \in V$.
Goal: $d_s[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.
Predecessor of a node

$$\pi_s: V \to V$$

Initially $\pi_s[v]$ undefined for each node $v \in V$

General Algorithm

- Initialise d_s and π_s : $d_s[v] = \infty$, $\pi_s[v] =$ null for each $v \in V$
- **2** Set $d_s[s] \leftarrow 0$
- **3** Choose an edge $(u, v) \in E$

Relaxiere
$$(u, v)$$
:
if $d_s[v] > d[u] + c(u, v)$ then
 $d_s[v] \leftarrow d_s[u] + c(u, v)$
 $\pi_s[v] \leftarrow u$

4 Repeat 3 until nothing can be relaxed any more. (until $d_s[v] \le d_s[u] + c(u, v) \quad \forall (u, v) \in E$)

Assumption



Basic Idea

Set \boldsymbol{V} of nodes is partitioned into

- the set M of nodes for which a shortest path from s is already known,
- the set R = ⋃_{v∈M} N⁺(v) \ M of nodes where a shortest path is not yet known but that are accessible directly from M,
- the set $U = V \setminus (M \cup R)$ of nodes that have not yet been considered.



Induction

Induction over |M|: choose nodes from R with smallest upper bound. Add r to M and update R and U accordingly.

Correctness: if within the "wavefront" a node with minimal weight w has been found then no path over later nodes (providing weight $\geq d$) can provide any improvement.



Algorithm Dijkstra(G, s)

Input: Positively weighted Graph G = (V, E, c), starting point $s \in V$, **Output:** Minimal weights d of the shortest paths and corresponding predecessor node for each node.

```
foreach u \in V do
  d_s[u] \leftarrow \infty; \pi_s[u] \leftarrow \mathsf{null}
d_s[s] \leftarrow 0; R \leftarrow \{s\}
while R \neq \emptyset do
      u \leftarrow \mathsf{ExtractMin}(R)
      foreach v \in N^+(u) do
             if d_{s}[u] + c(u, v) < d_{s}[v] then
                   d_s[v] \leftarrow d_s[u] + c(u, v)
            \pi_s[v] \leftarrow uR \leftarrow R \cup \{v\}
```







$$M = \{s\}$$
$$R = \{a, b\}$$
$$U = \{c, d, e\}$$



 $M = \{s, a\}$ $R = \{b, c\}$ $U = \{d, e\}$



$$M = \{s, a, b\}$$
$$R = \{c, d\}$$
$$U = \{e\}$$



$$M = \{s, a, b, d\}$$
$$R = \{c, e\}$$
$$U = \{\}$$



$$A = \{s, a, b, d, e\}$$
$$R = \{c\}$$
$$U = \{\}$$

/



$$M = \{s, a, b, d, e, c\}$$

 $R = \{\}$
 $U = \{\}$

Implementation: Data Structure for *R*?

Required operations:

```
Insert (add to R)
ExtractMin (over R) and DecreaseKey (Update in R)
   foreach v \in N^+(u) do
       if d_s[u] + c(u, v) < d_s[v] then
           d_s[v] \leftarrow d_s[u] + c(u, v)
           \pi_{s}[v] \leftarrow u
            if v \in R then
                \mathsf{DecreaseKey}(R, v)
                                                // Update of a d(v) in the heap of R
            else
            R \leftarrow R \cup \{v\}
                                                  // Update of d(v) in the heap of R
```

MinHeap!



DecreaseKey: climbing in MinHeap in O(log |V|)
 Position in the heap (i.e. array index of element in the heap)?



- DecreaseKey: climbing in MinHeap in $O(\log |V|)$
- Position in the heap (i.e. array index of element in the heap)?
 - alternative (a): Store position at the nodes

- DecreaseKey: climbing in MinHeap in $\mathcal{O}(\log |V|)$
- Position in the heap (i.e. array index of element in the heap)?
 - alternative (a): Store position at the nodes
 - alternative (b): Hashtable of the nodes

DecreaseKey: climbing in MinHeap in $\mathcal{O}(\log |V|)$

Position in the heap (i.e. array index of element in the heap)?

- alternative (a): Store position at the nodes
- alternative (b): Hashtable of the nodes
- alternative (c): re-insert node each time after update-operation and mark it as visited ("deleted") once extracted (Lazy Deletion)

- $\blacksquare |V| \times \mathsf{ExtractMin:} \mathcal{O}(|V| \log |V|)$
- $\blacksquare |E| \times \text{ Insert or DecreaseKey: } \mathcal{O}(|E| \log |V|)$
- $\blacksquare 1 \times \text{Init: } \mathcal{O}(|V|)$
- Overal: $\mathcal{O}(|E| \log |V|)$.

General Weighted Graphs

Relaxing Step as with Dijkstra:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Relax}(u,v) \\ \mbox{if } d_s(v) > d_s(u) + c(u,v) \mbox{ then } \\ d_s(v) \leftarrow d_s(u) + c(u,v) \\ \pi_s(v) \leftarrow u \\ \mbox{return true } \end{array}$

return false



Problem: cycles with negative weights can shorten the path, a shortest path is not guaranteed to exist.

Observations

- Observation 1: Sub-paths of shortest paths are shortest paths. Let $p = \langle v_0, \dots, v_k \rangle$ be a shortest path from v_0 to v_k . Then each of the sub-paths $x_1 = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ is a shortest path.
 - the sub-paths $p_{ij} = \langle v_i, \ldots, v_j \rangle$ ($0 \le i < j \le k$) is a shortest path from v_i to v_j .
 - Proof: if not, then one of the sub-paths could be shortened which immediately leads to a contradiction.
- Observation: If there is a shortest path then it is simple, thus does not provide a node more than once. Immediate Consequence of observation 1.

Dynamic Programming Approach (Bellman)

Induction over number of edges $d_s[i, v]$: Shortest path from s to v via maximally i edges.

$$d_s[i, v] = \min\{d_s[i - 1, v], \min_{(u, v) \in E} (d_s[i - 1, u] + c(u, v)) \\ d_s[0, s] = 0, d_s[0, v] = \infty \ \forall v \neq s.$$

Dynamic Programming Approach (Bellman)



Algorithm: Iterate over last row until the relaxation steps do not provide any further changes, maximally n - 1 iterations. If still changes, then there is no shortest path.

Algorithm Bellman-Ford(G, s)

Input: Graph G = (V, E, c), starting point $s \in V$ **Output:** If return value true, minimal weights d for all shortest paths from s, otherwise no shortest path.

return false;

// Negative Cycle!

Runtime $\mathcal{O}(|E| \cdot |V|)$.

Conclusion

n:=|V|,m:=|E|

problem	method	runtime	dense	sparse
			$m\in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$	$m\in \mathcal{O}(n)$
$c \equiv 1$	BFS	$\mathcal{O}(m+n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
DAG	Top-Sort	$\mathcal{O}(m+n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
$c \ge 0$	Dijkstra	$\mathcal{O}((m+n)\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$
general	Bellman-Ford	$\mathcal{O}(m \cdot n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

4. In-Class-Exercise (theoretical)

Finding a shortest path is easy (BFS, Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford). Finding a long path is incredibly hard! For directed graphs, nobody knows how to even efficiently find paths of length $\gg \log^2 n$.

Finding a shortest path is easy (BFS, Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford). Finding a long path is incredibly hard! For directed graphs, nobody knows how to even efficiently find paths of length $\gg \log^2 n$.

Exercise:

You are given a directed, **acyclic** graph (DAG) G = (V, E). Design an O(|V| + |E|)-time algorithm to find the longest path. Finding a shortest path is easy (BFS, Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford). Finding a long path is incredibly hard! For directed graphs, nobody knows how to even efficiently find paths of length $\gg \log^2 n$.

Exercise:

You are given a directed, **acyclic** graph (DAG) G = (V, E). Design an O(|V| + |E|)-time algorithm to find the longest path. *Hint: G* is acyclic, meaning you can topologically sort *G*.

Solution:

1 Topological Sorting. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.

- **1** Topological Sorting. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.
- **2** Compute for each node all incoming edges: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.

- **1** Topological Sorting. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.
- **2** Compute for each node all incoming edges: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.
- 3 Visit each node v in topological order and consider all incoming edges: O(|V| + |E|).

- **1** Topological Sorting. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.
- **2** Compute for each node all incoming edges: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.

- **1** Topological Sorting. Running time: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.
- **2** Compute for each node all incoming edges: $\mathcal{O}(|V| + |E|)$.

5. In-Class-Exercise (practical)

Shortest Path in a Maze



Questions / Suggestions?