32. Parallel Programming III

Deadlock and Starvation , Producer-Consumer , The concept of the monitor , Condition Variables [Deadlocks : Williams, Kap. 3.2.4-3.2.5] [Condition Variables: Williams, Kap. 4.1]

Deadlock Motivation

```cpp
class BankAccount {
  int balance = 0;
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
public:
  ...
  void withdraw(int amount) { guard g(m); ... }
  void deposit(int amount){ guard g(m); ... }

  void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
      guard g(m);
      withdraw(amount);
      to.deposit(amount);
  }
};
```

Deadlock Motivation

```cpp
class BankAccount {
  int balance = 0;
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
public:
  ...
  void withdraw(int amount) { guard g(m); ... }
  void deposit(int amount){ guard g(m); ... }

  void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
      guard g(m);
      withdraw(amount);
      to.deposit(amount);
  }
};
```

Problem?

Deadlock Motivation

Suppose BankAccount instances **x** and **y**

Thread 1: `x.transfer(1,y);`   **Thread 2: `y.transfer(1,x);`**

acquire lock for x

withdraw from x   acquire lock for y

acquire lock for y   withdraw from y

acquire lock for x

Deadlock Motivation

Suppose BankAccount instances **x** and **y**

Thread 1: x.transfer(1,y);

acquire lock for x ← 🔒

withdraw from x

acquire lock for y

Thread 2: y.transfer(1,x);

acquire lock for y

withdraw from y

acquire lock for x

Deadlock Motivation

Suppose BankAccount instances **x** and **y**

Thread 1: `x.transfer(1,y);`

acquire lock for x ←

withdraw from x

acquire lock for y

Thread 2: `y.transfer(1,x);`

acquire lock for y ←

withdraw from y

acquire lock for x

Deadlock Motivation

Suppose BankAccount instances **x** and **y**

Thread 1: x.transfer(1,y);   **Thread 2: y.transfer(1,x);**

acquire lock for x ←

withdraw from x

acquire lock for y   acquire lock for y ←

                                        withdraw from y

                                        acquire lock for x

Deadlock Motivation

Suppose BankAccount instances **x** and **y**

Thread 1: `x.transfer(1,y);`   Thread 2: `y.transfer(1,x);`

acquire lock for x

withdraw from x

acquire lock for y

acquire lock for y

withdraw from y

acquire lock for x

Deadlock

Deadlock: two or more processes are mutually blocked because each process waits for another of these processes to proceed.

Threads and Resources

- Grafically t and Resources (Locks) r
- Thread t attempts to acquire resource a: $t \longrightarrow a$
- Resource b is held by thread q: $s \longleftarrow b$

Deadlock – Detection

A deadlock for threads $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ occurs when the graph describing the relation of the n threads and resources $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ contains a cycle.

Techniques

- **Deadlock detection** detects cycles in the dependency graph. Deadlocks can in general not be healed: releasing locks generally leads to inconsistent state
- **Deadlock avoidance** amounts to techniques to ensure a cycle can never arise
    - Coarser granularity "one lock for all"
    - Two-phase locking with retry mechanism
    - Lock Hierarchies
    - …
    - Resource Ordering

Back to the Example

```
class BankAccount {
  int id; // account number, also used for locking order
  std::recursive_mutex m; ...
public:
  ...
  void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
    if (id < to.id){
      guard g(m); guard h(to.m);
      withdraw(amount); to.deposit(amount);
    } else {
      guard g(to.m); guard h(m);
      withdraw(amount); to.deposit(amount);
    }
  }
};
```

C++11 Style

```cpp
class BankAccount {
  ...
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
public:
  ...
   void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
     std::lock(m,to.m); // lock order done by C++
     // tell the guards that the lock is already taken:
     guard g(m,std::adopt_lock); guard h(to.m,std::adopt_lock);
     withdraw(amount);
     to.deposit(amount);
  }
};
```

By the way…

```cpp
class BankAccount {
  int balance = 0;
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
public:
  ...
  void withdraw(int amount) { guard g(m); ... }
  void deposit(int amount){ guard g(m); ... }

  void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
      withdraw(amount);
      to.deposit(amount);
  }
};
```

By the way…

```cpp
class BankAccount {
  int balance = 0;
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
public:
  ...
  void withdraw(int amount) { guard g(m); ... }
  void deposit(int amount){ guard g(m); ... }

  void transfer(int amount, BankAccount& to){
      withdraw(amount);
      to.deposit(amount);
  }
};
```

This would have worked here also. But then for a very short amount of time, money disappears, which does not seem acceptable (transient inconsistency!)

Starvation und Livelock

Starvation: the repeated but unsuccessful attempt to acquire a resource that was recently (transiently) free.

Livelock: competing processes are able to detect a potential deadlock but make no progress while trying to resolve it.

Politelock

Producer-Consumer Problem

Two (or more) processes, producers and consumers of data should become decoupled by some data structure.

Fundamental Data structure for building pipelines in software.

Sequential implementation (unbounded buffer)

```cpp
class BufferS {
  std::queue<int> buf;
public:
    void put(int x){
        buf.push(x);
    }

    int get(){
        while (buf.empty()){} // wait until data arrive
        int x = buf.front();
        buf.pop();
        return x;
    }
};
```

Sequential implementation (unbounded buffer)

```cpp
class BufferS {
  std::queue<int> buf;
public:
    void put(int x){
        buf.push(x);
    }

    int get(){
        while (buf.empty()){} // wait until data arrive
        int x = buf.front();
        buf.pop();
        return x;
    }
};
```

not thread-safe

How about this?

```cpp
class Buffer {
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
  std::queue<int> buf;
public:
    void put(int x){ guard g(m);
        buf.push(x);
    }
    int get(){ guard g(m);
        while (buf.empty()){}
        int x = buf.front();
        buf.pop();
        return x;
    }
};
```

How about this?

```cpp
class Buffer {
  std::recursive_mutex m;
  using guard = std::lock_guard<std::recursive_mutex>;
  std::queue<int> buf;
public:
    void put(int x){ guard g(m);
        buf.push(x);
    }
    int get(){ guard g(m);
        while (buf.empty()){}
        int x = buf.front();
        buf.pop();
        return x;
    }
};
```

Deadlock

1050

Well, then this?

```
void put(int x){
    guard g(m);
    buf.push(x);
}
int get(){
    m.lock();
    while (buf.empty()){
        m.unlock();
        m.lock();
    }
    int x = buf.front();
    buf.pop();
    m.unlock();
    return x;
}
```

Well, then this?

```
void put(int x){
    guard g(m);
    buf.push(x);
}
int get(){
    m.lock();
    while (buf.empty()){
        m.unlock();
        m.lock();
    }
    int x = buf.front();
    buf.pop();
    m.unlock();
    return x;
}
```

Ok this works, but it wastes CPU time.

Better?

```
void put(int x){
  guard g(m);
  buf.push(x);
}
int get(){
  m.lock();
  while (buf.empty()){
    m.unlock();
    std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
    m.lock();
  }
  int x = buf.front(); buf.pop();
  m.unlock();
  return x;
}
```

Better?

```
void put(int x){
  guard g(m);
  buf.push(x);
}
int get(){
  m.lock();
  while (buf.empty()){
    m.unlock();
    std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
    m.lock();
  }
  int x = buf.front(); buf.pop();
  m.unlock();
  return x;
}
```

Ok a little bit better, limits reactivity though.

Moral

We do not want to implement waiting on a condition ourselves.
There already is a mechanism for this: **condition variables**.
The underlying concept is called **Monitor**.

Monitor

Monitor abstract data structure equipped with a set of operations that run in mutual exclusion and that can be synchronized.

Invented by C.A.R. Hoare and Per Brinch Hansen (cf. Monitors – An Operating System Structuring Concept, C.A.R. Hoare 1974)


C.A.R. Hoare, *1934

Per Brinch Hansen (1938-2007)

Monitors vs. Locks

Monitor and Conditions

Monitors provide, in addition to mutual exclusion, the following mechanism:

Waiting on conditions: If a condition does not hold, then

- Release the monitor lock
- Wait for the condition to become true
- Check the condition when a signal is raised

Signalling: Thread that might make the condition true:

- Send signal to potentially waiting threads

Condition Variables

```cpp
#include <mutex>
#include <condition_variable>
...

class Buffer {
  std::queue<int> buf;

  std::mutex m;
  // need unique_lock guard for conditions
  using guard = std::unique_lock<std::mutex>;
  std::condition_variable cond;
public:
  ...
};
```

Condition Variables

```
class Buffer {
...
public:
    void put(int x){
        guard g(m);
        buf.push(x);
        cond.notify_one();
    }
    int get(){
        guard g(m);
        cond.wait(g, [&]{return !buf.empty();});
        int x = buf.front(); buf.pop();
        return x;
    }
};
```

Technical Details

- A thread that waits using `cond.wait` runs at most for a short time on a core. After that it does not utilize compute power and "sleeps".
- The notify (or signal-) mechanism wakes up sleeping threads that subsequently check their conditions.

  - `cond.notify_one` signals *one* waiting thread
  - `cond.notify_all` signals *all* waiting threads. Required when waiting thrads wait potentially on *different* conditions.

Technical Details

Many other programming langauges offer the same kind of mechanism. The checking of conditions (in a loop!) has to be usually implemented by the programmer.

Java Example

```java
synchronized long get() {
  long x;
  while (isEmpty())
    try {
      wait ();
      } catch (InterruptedException e)
  x = doGet();
  return x;
}

synchronized put(long x){
  doPut(x);
  notify ();
}
```