


23. Shortest Paths

Motivation, Dijkstra's algorithm on distance graphs, Bellman-Ford Algorithm, Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

[Ottman/Widmayer, Kap. 9.5 Cormen et al, Kap. 24.1-24.3, 25.2-25.3]

River Crossing (Missionaries and Cannibals)

Problem: Three cannibals and three missionaries are standing at a river bank. The available boat can carry two people. At no time may at any place (banks or boat) be more cannibals than missionaries. How can the missionaries and cannibals cross the river as fast as possible? ³²



³²There are slight variations of this problem. It is equivalent to the jealous husbands problem.

Problem as Graph

Enumerate permitted configurations as nodes and connect them with an edge, when a crossing is allowed. The problem then becomes a shortest path problem.


Example



6 Personen am linken Ufer

4 Personen am linken Ufer

The whole problem as a graph




Example Mystic Square

Want to find the fastest solution for



Problem as Graph




648

649

Route Finding

Provided cities A - Z and Distances between cities.




What is the shortest path from A to Z?

Simplest Case

Constant edge weight 1 (wlog)

Solution: Breadth First Search



650

651


Graphs with positive weights

Given: $G = (V, E, c)$, $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $s, t \in V$.

Wanted: Length of a shortest path (weight) from s to t .


Path: $\langle s = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = t \rangle$, $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ ($0 \leq i < k$)

Weight: $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c((v_i, v_{i+1}))$.



652

Observation




653

Basic Idea

Set V of nodes is partitioned into

- the set M of nodes for which a shortest path from s is already known,
- the set $R = \bigcup_{v \in M} N^+(v) \setminus M$ of nodes where a shortest path is not yet known but that are accessible directly from M ,
- the set $U = V \setminus (M \cup R)$ of nodes that have not yet been considered.



654


Existence of Shortest Path

Assumption: There is a path from s to t in G .

Claim: There is a shortest path from s to t in G .

Proof: There can be infinitely many paths from s to t (cycles are possible). However, since c is positive, a shortest path must be acyclic. Thus the maximal length of a shortest path is bounded by some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there are only finitely many candidates for a shortest path.

Remark: There can be exponentially many paths. Example




655

Induction

Induction over $|M|$: choose nodes from R with smallest upper bound. Add r to M and update R and U accordingly.

Correctness: if within the “wavefront” a node with minimal weight has been found then no path with greater weight over different nodes can provide any improvement.



Algorithm Dijkstra(G, s)

Input : Positively weighted Graph $G = (V, E, c)$, starting point $s \in V$,
Output : Minimal weights d of the shortest paths.


```

 $M = \{s\}; R = N^+(s), U = V \setminus R$ 
 $d(s) \leftarrow 0; d(u) \leftarrow \infty \forall u \neq s$ 
while  $R \neq \emptyset$  do
   $r \leftarrow \arg \min_{r \in R} \min_{m \in N^-(r) \cap M} d(m) + c(m, r)$ 
   $d(r) \leftarrow \min_{m \in N^-(r) \cap M} d(m) + c(m, r)$ 
   $M \leftarrow M \cup \{r\}$ 
   $R \leftarrow R - \{r\} \cup N^+(r) \setminus M$ 
return  $d$ 
  
```

656

657

Example



$M = \{s, a\}$
 $R = \{b, c\}$
 $U = \{d, e\}$

Implementation: Naive Variant

- Find minimum: traverse all edges (u, v) for $u \in M, v \in R$.
- Overall costs: $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E|)$

658

659

Implementation: Better Variant

- Update of all outgoing edges when inserting new w in M :

```
foreach  $(w, v) \in E$  do
  if  $d(w) + c(w, v) < d(v)$  then
     $d(v) \leftarrow d(w) + c(w, v)$ 
```
- Costs of updates: $\mathcal{O}(|E|)$, Find minima: $\mathcal{O}(|V|^2)$, overall costs $\mathcal{O}(|V|^2)$

Implementation: Data Structure for R ?

Required operations:

- ExtractMin (over R)
- DecreaseKey (Update in R)

```
foreach  $(m, v) \in E$  do
  if  $d(m) + c(m, v) < d(v)$  then
     $d(v) \leftarrow d(m) + c(m, v)$ 
    if  $v \in R$  then
      DecreaseKey( $R, v$ ) // Update of a  $d(v)$  in the heap of  $R$ 
    else
       $R \leftarrow R \cup \{v\}$  // Update of  $d(v)$  in the heap of  $R$ 
```
- Heap Data Structure. Problem: unclear how to find v in R for DecreaseKey.

660

661

DecreaseKey

- DecreaseKey: climbing in MinHeap in $\mathcal{O}(\log |V|)$
- Position in the heap: possibility (a): Store position at the nodes
- Position in the heap: possibility (b): Hashtable of the nodes

Runtime

- $|V| \times$ ExtractMin: $\mathcal{O}(|V| \log |V|)$
- $|E| \times$ Insert or DecreaseKey: $\mathcal{O}(|E| \log |V|)$
- $1 \times$ Init: $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$
- Overall: $\mathcal{O}(|E| \log |V|)$.

Can be improved when a data structure optimized for ExtractMin and DecreaseKey is used (Fibonacci Heap), then runtime $\mathcal{O}(|E| + |V| \log |V|)$.


662

663

Reconstruct shortest Path

- Memorize best predecessor during the update step in the algorithm above. Store it with the node or in a separate data structure.
- Reconstruct best path by traversing backwards via best predecessor

Example



$$M = \{s, a, b\}$$

$$R = \{c, d\}$$

$$U = \{e\}$$

664

665

General Weighted Graphs

Relaxing works the same way:


$\text{Relax}(u, v)$ ($u, v \in V, (u, v) \in E$)

if $d_s(v) > d_s(u) + c(u, v)$ then

$d_s(v) \leftarrow d_s(u) + c(u, v)$

 return true

return false



Problem: cycles with negative weights can shorten the path, a shortest path is not guaranteed to exist.

Observations

- **Observation 1:** Sub-paths of shortest paths are shortest paths. Let $p = \langle v_0, \dots, v_k \rangle$ be a shortest path from v_0 to v_k . Then each of the sub-paths $p_{ij} = \langle v_i, \dots, v_j \rangle$ ($0 \leq i < j \leq k$) is a shortest path from v_i to v_j .
Proof: if not, then one of the sub-paths could be shortened which immediately leads to a contradiction.
- **Observation:** If there is a shortest path then it is simple, thus does not provide a node more than once.
Immediate Consequence of observation 1.

666

667

Dynamic Programming Approach (Bellman)


Induction over number of edges $d_s[i, v]$: Shortest path from s to v via maximally i edges.

$$d_s[i, v] = \min\{d_s[i-1, v], \min_{(u,v) \in E} (d_s[i-1, u] + c(u, v))\}$$

$$d_s[0, s] = 0, d_s[0, v] = \infty \forall v \neq s.$$

Dynamic Programming Approach (Bellman)

	s	\dots	v	\dots	w
0	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
1	0	∞	7	∞	-2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$n-1$	0	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots



Algorithm: Iterate over last row until the relaxation steps do not provide any further changes, maximally $n-1$ iterations. If still changes, then there is no shortest path.

668

669

Algorithm Bellman-Ford(G, s)

Input : Graph $G = (V, E, c)$, starting point $s \in V$

Output : If return value true, minimal weights d for all shortest paths from s , otherwise no shortest path.

$d(v) \leftarrow \infty \forall v \in V; d(s) \leftarrow 0$

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** $|V|$ **do**

$f \leftarrow$ false

foreach $(u, v) \in E$ **do**

$f \leftarrow f \vee \text{Relax}(u, v)$

if $f =$ false **then return** true

return false;

Runtime $\mathcal{O}(|E| \cdot |V|)$.

All shortest Paths

Compute the weight of a shortest path for each pair of nodes.

- $|V| \times$ Application of Dijkstra's Shortest Path algorithm $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E| \cdot \log |V|)$ (with Fibonacci Heap: $\mathcal{O}(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V| \cdot |E|)$)
- $|V| \times$ Application of Bellman-Ford: $\mathcal{O}(|E| \cdot |V|^2)$
- There are better ways!

670

671

Induction via node number³³

Consider weights of all shortest paths S^k with intermediate nodes in $V^k := \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, provided that weights for all shortest paths S^{k-1} with intermediate nodes in V^{k-1} are given.

- v_k no intermediate node of a shortest path of $v_i \rightsquigarrow v_j$ in V^k :
Weight of a shortest path $v_i \rightsquigarrow v_j$ in S^{k-1} is then also weight of shortest path in S^k .
- v_k intermediate node of a shortest path $v_i \rightsquigarrow v_j$ in V^k : Sub-paths $v_i \rightsquigarrow v_k$ and $v_k \rightsquigarrow v_j$ contain intermediate nodes only from S^{k-1} .

³³like for the algorithm of the reflexive transitive closure of Warshall

DP Induction

$d^k(u, v)$ = Minimal weight of a path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ with intermediate nodes in V^k

Induktion

$$d^k(u, v) = \min\{d^{k-1}(u, v), d^{k-1}(u, k) + d^{k-1}(k, v)\} (k \geq 1)$$

$$d^0(u, v) = c(u, v)$$

DP Algorithm Floyd-Warshall(G)

Input : Acyclic Graph $G = (V, E, c)$

Output : Minimal weights of all paths d

$d^0 \leftarrow c$

for $k \leftarrow 1$ **to** $|V|$ **do**

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** $|V|$ **do**

for $j \leftarrow 1$ **to** $|V|$ **do**

$d^k(v_i, v_j) = \min\{d^{k-1}(v_i, v_j), d^{k-1}(v_i, v_k) + d^{k-1}(v_k, v_j)\}$


Runtime: $\Theta(|V|^3)$

Remark: Algorithm can be executed with a single matrix d (in place).

Reweighting

Idea: Reweighting the graph in order to apply Dijkstra's algorithm.

The following does *not* work. The graphs are not equivalent in terms of shortest paths.



Reweighting

Other Idea: “Potential” (Height) on the nodes

- $G = (V, E, c)$ a weighted graph.
- Mapping $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- New weights

$$\tilde{c}(u, v) = c(u, v) + h(u) - h(v), (u, v \in V)$$

Reweighting

Observation: A path p is shortest path in $G = (V, E, c)$ iff it is shortest path in $\tilde{G} = (V, E, \tilde{c})$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}(p) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{c}(v_{i-1}, v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k c(v_{i-1}, v_i) + h(v_{i-1}) - h(v_i) \\ &= h(v_0) - h(v_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k c(v_{i-1}, v_i) = c(p) + h(v_0) - h(v_k)\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{c}(p)$ minimal in all $v_0 \rightsquigarrow v_k \iff c(p)$ minimal in all $v_0 \rightsquigarrow v_k$.

Weights of cycles are invariant: $\tilde{c}(v_0, \dots, v_k = v_0) = c(v_0, \dots, v_k = v_0)$

676

677

Johnson's Algorithm

Add a new node $s \notin V$:

$$\begin{aligned}G' &= (V', E', c') \\ V' &= V \cup \{s\} \\ E' &= E \cup \{(s, v) : v \in V\} \\ c'(u, v) &= c(u, v), u \neq s \\ c'(s, v) &= 0(v \in V)\end{aligned}$$

Johnson's Algorithm

If no negative cycles, choose as height function the weight of the shortest paths from s ,

$$h(v) = d(s, v).$$

For a minimal weight d of a path the following triangular inequality holds:

$$d(s, v) \leq d(s, u) + c(u, v).$$

Substitution yields $h(v) \leq h(u) + c(u, v)$. Therefore

$$\tilde{c}(u, v) = c(u, v) + h(u) - h(v) \geq 0.$$

678

679

Algorithm Johnson(G)

Input : Weighted Graph $G = (V, E, c)$

Output : Minimal weights of all paths D .

New node s . Compute $G' = (V', E', c')$

if BellmanFord(G', s) = false **then** return “graph has negative cycles”

foreach $v \in V'$ **do**

$h(v) \leftarrow d(s, v)$ // d aus BellmanFord Algorithmus

foreach $(u, v) \in E'$ **do**

$\tilde{c}(u, v) \leftarrow c(u, v) + h(u) - h(v)$

foreach $u \in V$ **do**

$\tilde{d}(u, \cdot) \leftarrow \text{Dijkstra}(\tilde{G}', u)$

foreach $v \in V$ **do**

$D(u, v) \leftarrow \tilde{d}(u, v) + h(v) - h(u)$

Analysis

Runtimes

- Computation of G' : $\mathcal{O}(|V|)$
- Bellman Ford G' : $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E|)$
- $|V| \times$ Dijkstra $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E| \cdot \log |V|)$
(with Fibonacci Heap: $\mathcal{O}(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V| \cdot |E|)$)

Overall $\mathcal{O}(|V| \cdot |E| \cdot \log |V|)$
($\mathcal{O}(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V| \cdot |E|)$)